

JOB COMPLETION REPORT

As required by

FEDERAL AID IN FISHERIES RESTORATION ACT

TEXAS

Federal Aid Project No. F-6-R-12

FISHERIES INVESTIGATIONS AND SURVEYS OF THE WATERS OF REGION 5-B

Job No. B-23 Basic Survey and Inventory of Fish Species Present
in the Lower Nueces River

Project Leader: Charles T. Menn

J. Weldon Watson
Executive Director
Parks and Wildlife Department
Austin, Texas

Marion Toole
D-J Coordinator

Eugene A. Walker
Assistant Director for Wildlife

January 21, 1965

ABSTRACT

The basic survey of the Lower Nueces River from Wesley Seale Dam to Nueces Bay revealed that channel and blue catfish, largemouth bass and white and black crappie are the principal game fish species.

Rough fish species including alligator, spotted and longnose gars, gizzard shad, smallmouth buffalo, striped mullet, bluegill, freshwater drum, Rio Grande perch and lined sole dominated the netting collections both in numbers and in weight with 88.43 per cent of the total number and 97.04 per cent of the weight.

No developmental or management work is presently proposed or recommended.

JOB COMPLETION REPORT

State of Texas

Project No. F-6-R-12

Name: Fisheries Investigations and Surveys
of the Waters of Region 5-B

Job No. B-23

Title: Basic Survey and Inventory of Fish
Present in the Lower Nueces River

Period Covered: January 1, 1964 through December 31, 1964

Objectives:

To determine the physical, chemical and ecological conditions and the relative numbers of fish species present in the lower portions of the Nueces River lying within San Patricio, Jim Wells and Nueces Counties.

Procedures:

Field trips were made during the months of February, May, August and November. On each trip, nine netting collections and 10 seining collections were made. Standard, 125-foot long, gill nets were set overnight at established netting stations. Each fish was weighed in grams and measured in millimeters. Internal examinations were made to determine sex, stage of sexual development and incidence of parasitism.

Specimens taken in seining collections were preserved in 10 per cent formaldehyde in the field and taken to the Mathis field office for identification and tabulation.

Water analyses were made on each field trip. Notes were made on pollution, aquatic vegetation and topographical data.

Maps prepared by the Texas Highway Department were used to pinpoint netting, seining and water analyses stations.

A list of the fish species taken in nets and seines is included in Table 1. The scientific and common names used in this table were taken from Hubbs' A Checklist of Texas Fresh-Water Fishes, Texas Game and Fish Commission, IF Series No. 3, June, 1961, and from Special Publication No. 2, 1960, American Fisheries Society, entitled A List of Common & Scientific Names of Fishes From the United States & Canada, Second Edition.

Table 1. A list of fish species recorded from Lower Nueces River

Common Name	Scientific Name
Alligator gar	<u>Lepisosteus spatula</u> Lacépède
Spotted gar	<u>L. oculatus</u> (Winchell)
Longnose gar	<u>L. osseus</u> (Linnaeus)
Ladyfish	<u>Elops saurus</u> Linnaeus
Finescale menhaden	<u>Brevoortia gunteri</u> Hildebrand
Gizzard shad	<u>Dorosoma cepedianum</u> (LeSueur)
Striped anchovy	<u>Anchoa hepsetus</u> (Linnaeus)
Mexican tetra	<u>Astyanax mexicanus</u> (Filippi)
Smallmouth buffalo	<u>Ictiobus bubalus</u> (Rafinesque)
Pugnose minnow	<u>Opsopoeodus emiliae</u> Hay
Red shiner	<u>Notropis lutrensis</u> (Baird and Girard)
Bullhead minnow	<u>Pimephales vigilax</u> (Baird and Girard)
Channel catfish	<u>Ictalurus punctatus</u> (Rafinesque)
Blue catfish	<u>I. furcatus</u> (LeSueur)
Tadpole madtom	<u>Satan gyrinus</u> (Mitchill)
Gulf killifish	<u>Fundulus grandis</u> Baird and Girard
Sheepshead minnow	<u>Cyprinodon variegatus</u> Lacépède
Mosquitofish	<u>Gambusia affinis</u> (Baird and Girard)
Sailfin molly	<u>Mollienesia latipinna</u> LeSueur
Amazon molly	<u>M. formosa</u> (Girard)
Striped mullet	<u>Mugil cephalus</u> Linnaeus
Tidewater silverside	<u>Menidia beryllina</u> (Cope)
White bass	<u>Roccus chrysops</u> (Rafinesque)
Largemouth bass	<u>Micropterus salmoides</u> (Lacépède)
Warmouth	<u>Chaenobryttus gulosus</u> (Cuvier)
Bluegill	<u>Lepomis macrochirus</u> Rafinesque
White crappie	<u>Pomoxis annularis</u> Rafinesque
Black crappie	<u>P. nigromaculatus</u> (LeSueur)
Fountain darter	<u>Etheostoma fonticola</u> (Jordan and Gilbert)
Leatherjacket	<u>Oligoplites saurus</u> (Bloch and Schneider)
Freshwater drum	<u>Aplodinotus grunniens</u> Rafinesque
Pinfish	<u>Lagodon rhomboides</u> (Linnaeus)
Rio Grande perch	<u>Cichlasoma cyanoguttatum</u> (Baird and Girard)
Naked goby	<u>Gobiosoma bosci</u> Lacépède
Lined sole	<u>Achirus lineatus</u> (Linnaeus)

Findings:

Physical Description

That portion of the Nueces River from Wesley Seale Dam, at Lake Corpus Christi, to Nueces Bay on the Texas coast was intensively surveyed during this segment (see map). This section of the river, which is approximately 38 miles in length, flows in a southeasterly direction to Nueces Bay. The average depth varies from approximately 4 to 10 feet, while the average width ranges from about 40 feet below the dam to about 200 feet in the lower reaches of the river. The river banks are sharply cut, indicating flooding in the past. Willows, ash, hackberry, live oak, mesquite, retama, and huisache trees are numerous along the river.

Netting Collections

Nine netting stations were set up during this survey. They represented the different types of habitat present. An overnight set was made at each station during February, May, August and November. The nets were run the following morning, and data for each fish were recorded.

A total of 511 fish of 17 species weighing 963 pounds was taken in the netting collections. Game fish species included channel and blue catfish, white bass, largemouth bass, white and black crappie. Collectively, the game fish comprised 11.57 per cent of the netted specimens and 2.96 per cent of their weight. Tables 2 and 3 show the results of netting by station.

A single specimen of white bass was taken below the dam. It apparently came from the lake where they are found in limited numbers. White and black crappie were found in the river, mostly in the lower reaches.

Rough fish species including alligator, spotted and longnose gars, gizzard shad, smallmouth buffalo, striped mullet, bluegill, freshwater drum, Rio Grande perch and lined sole dominated the netting collections both in numbers and in weights with 88.43 per cent of the total number and 97.04 per cent of the total weight.

Gars of one species or another were taken at all stations and were evenly distributed in the river. Collectively, the three species of gars made up 36.79 per cent of the netting collections by number. Upon examination of their stomachs, shad, silversides, unidentifiable fish remains, grasshoppers, crayfish, and unidentifiable insect remains were found. Visceral round worms were found in several gars.

Though gizzard shad were taken at each station, they were more numerous at the lower stations. In the case of smallmouth buffalo, the reverse was true.

Table 4 shows the length-weight statistics for fish taken in netting collections. The "K" factors of all fish were considered normal for fish of this area.

Table 2. Number of fish taken, by stations, Lower Nueces River Basic Survey, 1964

Species	Stations									Total	Per Cent of Total Number
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
Alligator gar		1		1	2		1	2		7	1.37
Spotted gar	4	2	3	2	4		1	3	3	22	4.31
Longnose gar	23	40	18	14	12	12	16	11	12	158	30.98
Ladyfish									1	1	0.20
Gizzard shad	2	4	1	1	9	5	8	25	84	139	27.25
Smallmouth buffalo	12	6	12	16	12	10	5	5	3	81	15.88
Channel catfish*	1	1	2		2	1		3	5	13	2.55
Blue catfish*					1			2	9	14	2.75
Striped mullet		7			1	2	3	1	1	14	2.75
White bass*		1								1	0.20
Largemouth bass*	1	3			1			2	2	9	1.76
Bluegill	2	1						1	1	5	0.98
White crappie*		1			4	5	5	1	1	17	3.33
Black crappie*		1				1	1		2	5	0.98
Freshwater drum					14	1	1			19	3.73
Rio Grande perch	1		2			1		1		2	0.39
Lined sole			1		1	1				3	0.59
Total	46	68	40	34	62	39	41	57	124	510	100.00
Per Cent Game Fish											11.57
Per Cent Rough Fish											88.43

* Indicates game fish

Table 3. Pounds of fish taken, by stations, Lower Nueces River Basic Survey, 1964

Species	Stations									Total	Per Cent of Total Weight
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
Alligator gar		22.0		21.0	119.3		12.0	57.2		231.5	19.48
Spotted gar	6.3	1.5	5.0	1.1	6.8		2.0	8.6	2.4	33.7	2.89
Longnose gar	42.1	93.9	51.9	29.1	26.4	30.9	57.3	41.8	45.6	419.0	35.92
Ladyfish									0.4	0.4	0.03
Gizzard shad	0.8	1.2	0.1	0.8	8.2	3.6	3.5	16.1	47.9	82.2	7.05
Smallmouth buffalo	44.7	22.6	65.6	58.5	40.2	33.5	13.4	20.9	10.3	309.7	26.55
Channel catfish*	0.8	1.5	1.7		0.2	1.1		1.3	1.4	6.3	0.54
Blue catfish*					1.5			1.3	6.1	10.6	0.91
Striped mullet		24.7				5.7	10.6	0.3	0.8	42.1	3.61
White bass*		0.6								0.6	0.05
Largemouth bass*	0.4	1.8			1.6			0.9	0.5	5.2	0.44
Bluegill	0.2	0.1						0.2	0.1	0.6	0.05
White crappie*		1.3			1.9	1.2	2.8	1.0	0.7	8.9	0.76
Black crappie*		0.7				0.1	0.8		1.4	3.0	0.26
Freshwater drum			1.2		9.5	0.4	0.5			12.0	1.03
Rio Grande perch	0.4					0.3		0.3		0.6	0.05
Lined sole			(**)		0.1	0.1				0.2	0.02
Total	95.7	149.9	125.5	89.5	112.7	76.9	102.9	92.7	117.6	1166.6	100.00
Per Cent Game Fish											2.96
Per Cent Rough Fish											97.04

* Indicates game fish

** Indicates no weight measurement recorded

Table 5. Seining results, Lower Nueces River Basic Survey, 1964

Species	Stations										Total	Per Cent of Total Number	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
Spotted gar		1		1								2	0.02
Ladyfish								1				2	0.02
Finescale menhaden												22	0.26
Gizzard shad	178					26			2			215	2.50
Striped anchovy								19				212	2.46
Mexican tetra												2	0.02
Pugnose minnow	9	44	26	23	49	1						215	2.50
Red shiner	134	188	385	33	55	40	15	264	28	43		1280	14.88
Bullhead minnow	70	4	32	33		15	12					166	1.93
Tadpole madtom		1										1	0.01
Gulf killifish												19	0.22
Sheepshead minnow	8				6			1	15	3		557	6.48
Mosquitofish	713	857	495	165	291	109	3	148	363	29		3471	40.34
Sailfin molly	19	16	52	63	29	570	1	1	257	13		263	3.06
Amazon molly	38	71	23	29	14	58		17		9		199	2.31
Striped mullet						7		1				57	0.66
Tidewater silverside	399	79	34	1		47	7	388	236	26		1498	17.41
Largemouth bass	9	1		10	1	13	20		6	1		61	0.71
Warmouth						1						2	0.02
Bluegill	14	111	17	5	5	21	14	6	2			193	2.25
Fountain darter								2				4	0.05
Leatherjacket												1	0.01
Pinfish									1			2	0.02
Rio Grande perch	2	31	7	51	15	4		6	6	1		151	1.76
Naked goby									2			3	0.03
Lined sole							6					6	0.07
Total	1593	1404	1071	414	465	275	1031	708	984	659	8604	100.00	

were found, but they are not a problem at this time. Since there is sufficient flow in the river, aquatic vegetation is not considered a problem and is not likely to become one.

Good soil conservation practices have been used in this area, but more are needed to prevent soil pollution. Many landowners have planted coastal bermuda along the river. This practice has been very successful in stabilizing soil.

No nutria were seen along the river nor was there any indication of their presence.

Discussion:

At the present time there is a limited population of largemouth bass and white and black crappie. Many anglers limit their fishing to catfish, however. No flatheads were found, but there is a good channel and blue catfish population.

No actual count of fishermen was made, but nearly all of them observed were at one of three places; the Highway 359 crossing, Bazemore Park or the Highway 9 crossing.

Presently, there are no commercial fishing camps located along the river, but there are a number of bait stands nearby.

Recommendations:

A commercial netter is recommended to harvest unlimited numbers of gars and smallmouth buffalo from this portion of the river. Any catfish taken in their nets, however, should be returned to the water immediately. The area game warden has reported that he has taken a number of fish traps out of the river. This work should be continued.

For the purpose of keeping a check on the populations, a limited survey and creel census should be made in the future for making management proposals.

No developmental or management work is presently proposed or recommended.

Prepared by Charles T. Menn
Project Leader

Approved by Marion Toole
Coordinator

Date January 21, 1965

Kenneth C. Jurgens
Regional Supervisor

LOWER NUECES RIVER

